

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,686	10/27/2003	Yoshiaki Kato	2611-0198P	2067	
2592 7590 69/15/20099 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MATTIS, JASON E		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2416		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/15/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/692.686 KATO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2416 JASON E. MATTIS All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jason Mattis. (3)Mr. Tokyo. (2) Penny Caudle. (4)Mr. Ogawa. Date of Interview: 10 September 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 19. Identification of prior art discussed: Magee, Branstad. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Discussed possible claim amendments. Agreed that limitations regarding remultiplexing streams of multiple input rates into a single output stream as well as adding null packets to the remultiplexed stream are not found in the previously cited prior art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jason E Mattis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office